

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/041,591	01/10/2002	Richard D. Heisey	73-96	5219
25542	7590 06/30/2003			
CNH INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW DEPARTMENT			EXAMINER	
	CASE NEW HOLLAND INC. P.O. BOX 1895		MAMMEN, NATHAN SCOTT	
MS 641 NEW HOLL	AND, PA 17557		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		3671	
			DATE MAILED: 06/30/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<u> </u>		
· Advisory Action	10/041,591	HEISEY, RICHARD	D.		
A Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Nathan S Mammen	3671			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 12 June 2003 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applications application at the same of th	ation. A proper reply h places the applica	y to a tion in		
	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TI date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the appropunt of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final	on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or		
timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:				
(a) Ithey raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);				
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or sir	nplifying the		
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claim	S.		
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	, <i>,</i>				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a so	eparate, timely filed	amendment		
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		idered but does NO	Γ place the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were	e newly		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	• • •	-	and an		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected:					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	_				
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)☐ approved or b)⊡ disapp	roved by the Exami	ner.		
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·			
10. Other:					
S. Patent and Trademark Office					

Continuation of 2 NOTE: The added limitations to the preamble defining what the combine harvester includes require further consideration..

Thomas B. Will
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Group 3600

2